P. F. 42797.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1890-91

191 .

(L.R.)

File No. 74790

Serial Nos. 1 to 13

Later references.

L.R. 90 & 94.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 13.

~~Part~~ B for October 1894.

SUBJECT.

Petition of B. Venkata Rao. Kodagidar of Gundakal Maddayin Taluk regarding the Kodagi Inam lands.

---

7 D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 26-1-19

Indexed

Petition No. 1130 of 23rd Dec. 91.

by B. Venkata Rao, Kodigadar of

Gundakal, Maddagiri Taluk,

regarding the ~~Stamp~~ Kodagi Irrm

Cands ———

Pet^n not found.

No. 188 Ro.

(3)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

L. R. 74-90-1

The accompanying Petition No. 1130
dated 23d December 91 received at the
Dewan's Office from B. Venkatachar
Hobli of Gundagal
Madhugiri Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
enclosures, to the Deputy Commr
Tumkur Dist for early
disposal by endorsement

By Order,

Draft

Bangalore,
February 1892

Chief Secretary.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-93.

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt: of Mysore.

Dated 8th June 1893.

Despatched 9th

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & Record.

General No. No. 1008

Chief Secretary's Off: Memo: No: 178 of the 2nd February 1892.

Submits copy of letter No: 195 of the 6th Instant received from the General Asst: Commr: reporting on the petitioner V. Venkata Row's case against his Koi tank in the Village of Gun in the Maddagiri Taluk — Submits the record together with the petition —

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

145-99
Pet: 597

(4)

L.R. 74-90-2.

Re Ranch
10/6/93

Rec'd 24-7-93 with Dr Bride's Memo about references dated 21 Inst.

Submitted to the Chief Secretary with reference to the order on Petr Venkat Rao's subsequent Petition dt 45 & 24-6-93.

The Genl Asst Comr Mr Rama chandra Rao has given a full history of the case. Of the references cited by him Notifn No 180 & 29-7-75 and Proceedings No 962a/43 & 20-12-76 are printed at pages 91 & 92 of the Rev. Manual of 1886. A Copy of Govt No 4816-24/138 & 18-9-81 is put up.

The Genl Asst Comr refers also to the Dewans Notes on the Inspection of the Kolar Dt in 1887.

He states that no orders have yet been passed on the 3rd Comrs reference No 408 dated 18th Nov. 1882 made in regard to Petr's case. That letter is not forthcoming in the records. Search has been directed but as no trace appears from the papers in the file —

Put up to (Rev) Comr.

Order Ltr to 15 Sheets

170

I confess that we cannot justly enforce a reimbursement of Disbursements against the wishes of the Inamdars, but we have enforced the reimbursement throughout the Province & we cannot make an exception in Billimoria's case without reversing the settlements already made & giving the Inams the option of reimbursing.—

The inamdars in the case

The intelligence to reimburse appears to arise from the doubt whether the Govt would repair such made Tanks in future — But the Govt can direct to repair such Tanks, & the D P W should be expected to make it a point to see that all Disbursement Tanks are duly maintained.

Now Inamdars who have expended

In the present case, I would inform Billimoria that the settlement already made cannot be altered, but that the D P W have been instructed to keep the Tank in good repair.

Ulbeatson
19/9/93

Seen. Move to put
J. Challapat
for approval
UL

No: 1008. Tumkur District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 8th June 1893.

(4)

To,

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No: 188 of the 2nd February 1892, forwarding for disposal petition from B. Venkata Row Kodagidar of Gundagal Village in the Maddagiri Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No: 195 of the 6th Instant received from the General Assistant Commissioner reporting on the petitioner's case. He wants his Kodagi tank to be restored to him on Kodagi tenure. The previous reference on the subject is pending with Government. The record of the enquiry held in the matter is also enclosed

enclosed together with the petition
under report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner

No: 195
Encls: 1 file

6th June 1893.
Tumkúr

General Assistant Commissioner's Office
Tumkúr District

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkúr District

Sir,

1. With reference to the marginally noted correspondence in connection with the petition of B. Venket Rao of Gundagal in the Maddagiri Talúk to the address of the Diwan on 23rd December 1891, Complaining of the injustice of the local authorities in having taken coercive measures for the recovery of the arrears of Quit-rent on his Kodagi Inam land, while his application for Exemption from liability to pay the Quit-rent is pending decision before the Government, I have the honor to report that having fully gone through the whole papers and made necessary enquiries, I find that there is truth in the petitioner's assertion that his case which was reported to

1. Venket Rao's petition to the Diwan d/ 23-12-91.
2. Official Memo: No: 188 of 2nd February 1892 from the Dewan's Office.
3. From Deputy Comr: Vernacular Docket No: 1359 of 22-2-92.
4. To —do:— No: 42 d/ 21-8-92.
5. From —do:— No: 662 d/ 20-10-92.
6. To —do:— No: 181 d/ 2-5-93.
7. From —do:— No: 1787 d/ 10-5-93.

× Vide No: 53 on the Ferist.

to Government in 1882 has not yet been finally decided. ——" The facts of the case are as follows. —

2. In the Village of Gundagal in the Maddagiri Taluk there is a tank commanding an irrigable area of 44 acres and 7 Guntas, bearing an assessment of Rupees 100 – 12 – 0 (Rs: A P.). The tank was constructed by the petitioner's grand-father Seenaya in the year Swabhanu 823. By virtue of a Sanad dated 3rd September 1828, His Highness the late Maha Rajah granted to the petitioner's grand-father Seenaya one fifth of the land below the tank, free of assessment, in consideration of the large outlay incurred by him on the construction of the tank and of his obligation to get the repairs done by him annually –. The tract of the 1/5 Kodagi Inam land then given to him, was found to measure 6 Acres and 91 Cents during the time of the Inam investigation in 869-70. In the Revenue Survey in 1876 the area was ascertained

ascertained to be 8 Acres and 6 Guntas. Under their settlement on 23rd June 1870, the Inam Department issued Title-deed to the petitioner Venkat Rao and his Uncle Aswattaya, confirming the Kodagi Inam on one fourth Quit-rent of Rupees 7-8-" plus 1-12-" as Inam Kasar and removing the obliga-tion of Service -.

3. Under the Government Notifica-tion No: 180 of the 29th July 1875, based upon the orders of the Government of India, the obligation imposed upon holders of Kodagi Inam lands, of repair-ing the Kodagi tanks concerned ceased universally -. Under para 4 of Government Proceedings No: 9620-43 of the 20th Decem. 1876, the maintenance of all Kodagi tanks thenceforward devolved on the State -.

4. In 1881, the Government noticed the instances in which the Kodagi-dars were discontented with the settle-ment which severed their connection with

with the tanks constructed by their ancestors and which they considered it a point of honor to keep up, and the Government was of opinion that the enfranchisement should not have been compulsorily introduced in such cases. The Government therefore in their proceedings No: 4876-24-138 of the 8th September 1881 directed the Deputy Commissioners to institute an enquiry and submit a list of the cases in which the Kodagidars wished to prefer to retain their Inams on the old tenure, subject to the obligation of keeping the tanks in an efficient state. Pending the settlement of the question, the levy of Quit-rent was also ordered to be postponed (Vide Para 8 of the Dewan's Notes on the Inspection of Kolar District in 1887)--

5. There are only two Kodagi tanks in the Maddagiri Taluk--. One belonging to the petitioner, and another to one Ranjachar. The above orders of the Government were communicated to both the Inamdars who were directed to express their

their wishes within a month. The Inamdar Rangachar defaulted. The petitioner and his Uncle Aswattaya appeared before Mr: C. Soob Row the then Deputy Commissr on 11th April 1882 and expressed their willingness to reassume the obligation of keeping the tank in repair, if, in accordance with the Government order above quoted, they be freed from the incidence of the Quit-rent and other Cesses thereon —. Mr: Soob Row thought the Case a fit one for consideration of the Government with reference to the said order. He therefore submitted the matter to the Government with his letter noted in the margin. The statement marked (No: 36) containing the observations of the Deputy Commissioner Mr: Soob Row shews that the tank was then in an Efficient condition and that since the date of the imposition of the Quit-rent, the Government spent nothing for the repairs which the petitioner himself carried out in addition to the payment

× No: 4088 dt/ 18th November 1882.

payment of the Quitrent. No final reply has as yet been received from the Government to the said reference.

6. The arrears of Quitrent whose levy was postponed under the aforementioned orders, amounted to Rupees 74—1—4 up to 1887—88. In April 1888, a demand was made for the payment of these arrears. The petitioner referred the Amildar to the previous correspondence, and stated that he has been waiting the decision of the Government as to whether Government would undertake the repairs to the tank, or whether he would be required to do it on the condition of restoring the Kodagi tenure. Upon a report of the Amildar on the subject, Mr: Panchantha Row issued an order No: 33 of the 12th May 1889, directing the recovery of the arrears from the petitioner, while Mr: Krishna murti Row the Deputy Commissioner in his Memo No: 100 of the 1st May 1888, directs the Amildar to compel the petitioner to

to execute the several repairs which the tank stood in need of. The petitioner did not comply with these orders on the ground of the pendency of his case before the Government. The arrears of Quit rent rose to Rupees 99-15-4 till the year 1890-91. The lands of the petitioner were attached and advertized for sale. The petitioner therefore made the payment under protest. He has withheld the payment of the demand for 1891-92 and 1892-93. He claims the refund of the money paid under protest, and the remission of the demand for the two years referred to.

7. The revetment of the tank bund has given way to an extent which would require an outlay of 200 Rupees for repair. The Government has never incurred any outlay hitherto on this tank.

8. In 1888-89 the Settlement of Quit-rent in regard to several tanks

in the Kolar District was revised by the Inam Superintendent under the orders of the Dewan, the revision being restricted to cases in which Complaints were brought forward. No final orders have been received in the petitioner's Case. You will see from Column 5 of the Inam title deed* that the obligation which the late Maha Raja's Sanad imposed on the Kodagidar, to maintain the tank at his Cost has been expressly declared by the Inam Department as inoperative any longer. This being the Case, we cannot with propriety compel the petitioner to repair the tank. Nor does it on the other hand seem to be the intention of the Government to undertake the repairs of such small tanks of 100 Rupees revenue. All the wet lands below the tank irrespective of the Kodagi land have been held by the petitioner on Kudagem tenure, no land is in

the

* Vide No: 3 on the Feriest.

3/

the occupancy of any other Ryot. His request therefore for the restoration of the Kodagi tenure with liability to keep the tank always in good condition appears worthy of consideration —. All the vernacular records are herewith submitted as per Périst.

I have &c.

(Signed) K. Ramachendra Rao
General Assistant Commissr
Innkir District.

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner
Innkir District.

14599

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 4-5

Name of Petitioner. B. Venkata Rao
Kodgi Inamdar

Village. Gundagal

Taluk. Maddagiri

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 24-6-93.

Date of Receipt. 1-7-93.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. — Nil —

L.R. 74-90-3

ABSTRACT.

Reminder petition

Soliciting permission to repair the Gundagal Kodagi Tank and requesting the abolition of the Quit-rent charged on the lands below the Kodagi Tank &c —

Rejected
7/7/7

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

Read 1/7
Abstracted
3/7.

17

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
R. No. 457
Date 7-7-93

ಸನ್ನಿ ಸ್ಟೀಲ್ ಕಂಪನಿಯವರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಕ್ಕಿನ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಸಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗೆ,

ಸನ್ನಿ ಸ್ಟೀಲ್ ಕಂಪನಿಯವರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಕ್ಕಿನ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವರು. ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಸವಲತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದೆಯೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

3

4

5

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿಆಸ್ಕ್ಯೂ 125 ಎಲ್‌ಎಲ್‌ಎ 2018

ವಿಷಯ: ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕೆರೆಯ ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಣ್ಣ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕೆರೆಯ ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಣ್ಣ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಮನವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಕೆಲವು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆರೆಗಳ ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಗುರುತಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕೆರೆಗಳ ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಗುರುತಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಕೆರೆಯ ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಣ್ಣ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು. ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಇ 1 ಸಿಆರ್ಎಂ 2018

ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಂ.)

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಇಲಾಖಾ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರು ಹಾಗೂ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 18.01.2018

ವಿಷಯ: ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆಗಳ ಸಭೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಲಿಸಿ ಪರಿಹರಿಸಲು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕುಂದುಕೊರತೆಗಳ ಸಭೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಯೋಜಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

(8)

Proceedings of the Govt of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore

L R. 74-90-4

Read -

Letter No 1008 dated 8th June 1893 from the Dy Commr Tumkur Dt reporting on the Petition of B. Venkatthow, Kodaji -dar of Gundagal village in the Maddagiri Taluk.

Order - 6135 / Pol 539 Encl 1 file

dy 26/30 9 93.

The Statement that no answer was given to the Dy Commrs letter No 408 dated the 14th November 1882 is not correct. The Dy Commr is referred to docket No 6172/126 dated the 9th January 1883, in which, with reference to the said letter, he was asked to submit "a revised list of Kodaji tanks in his District as recently organized" the revised list called for

does not, however, appear to have been submitted.

The Dy Commr is requested to state what were the newly organized Kodagi tanks of which a revised list was called for, what was the organization then made, whether the revision of the settlement of the Quit rent made in the Kolar District in 1888-89 (vide para 8 of the Asst Comrs letter) was extended to the Nundidrug Dt, and if so why the Petitioner did not then apply for revision of the settlement of his Inam

Chief Secretary

To The Dy Commr Nundidrug Dt
with Papers as per list

21

To

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District

Pet. 201.

L. R. 74-90-5

His letter No 1008 dated 8th June last submitting the records connected with the ~~petition~~ appeal of B. Venkata Row of Gundagal-Maddagiri Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the Deputy Commr will be good enough to stay sale proceedings pending disposal of the appeal.

By Order

Chief Secretary.

Draft

L.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To D. C. Tumkur

Dated 9—8—93.

Despatched 9/8/93

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. Pet
No. 201

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

9-8-93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 414 (6)

Name of Petitioner. B. Venkat Rai Kodagidar &

Village. Gundagat

Taluk. Muddgere

District. Tumkur

L.R. 74-90-6

Date of Arzi. 9-8-93

Date of Receipt. 9-8-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to his petition dated 24th June 1893, praying for remission of assessment (Quitrent) on his Kodagi Inam lands.

Prays for an order to the Deputy Commissioner to stay the sale of his Inam lands attached for arrears of quit-rent pending the disposal of his Appeal & for an endorsement to him informing the accord-

Petition No. 1008 of
8/6/93 (Ref. No. 11/599) Sringeri Ch.
Decision on 26.7.93

MYSORE REVENUE OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 444
DATE

(5)

ਸਮੇਂ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਦਾਇਰ ਹੋਇਆ 1892ਈ. ਵਿਚ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਤੋਂ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ
ਕਿ ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੂੰ ਹੁਕਮ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਮੁਦਈ ਨੂੰ 125 ਰੁ. ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਦੇ ਹਿਸਾਬ ਨਾਲ
ਸਾਰੀ ਉਮਰ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਰਹਿਣ। ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਵਿਆਹ 1000 ਰੁ.
ਖਰਚ-4 ਨੰਬਰ ਦੇ ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਕੀਤਾ ਸੀ। ਮੁਦਈ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ

ਦੇ ਸਮੇਂ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਉਮਰ 3-4 ਸਾਲ ਦੀ ਸੀ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ
ਮੌਤ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੇਕੇ ਘਰ ਚਲੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਉਥੇ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਦੂਜਾ ਵਿਆਹ ਕਰਵਾ ਲਿਆ
ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਹ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰੇ ਦੀ ਹੱਕਦਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਉਹ
ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਵਾਰਸ ਨਹੀਂ ਹਨ। ਉਹ ਤਾਂ ਸਿਰਫ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੇ ਚਾਚੇ
ਦੇ ਵਾਰਸ ਹਨ। ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੇ ਵਾਰਸ ਤਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਭਰਾ ਹਨ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ
ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ
ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਮੌਤ 1892ਈ. ਵਿਚ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ। ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ
ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਸੀ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ
ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ
ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ
ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ।
ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ
ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ
ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ
ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ
ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ। ਮੁਦਾਲਿਆਨ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਕਿਹਾ
ਕਿ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਦੀ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਦੀ ਕੀਮਤ ਵੀ ਮੁਦਈ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ।

ਵਾਲਾ ਪੱਖ: ਕੁਝ ਸਾਲਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਅਸੀਂ ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਹੁਣ ਬੀਜਣ ਦਾ ਕੰਮ ਕਰਦੇ ਆ ਰਹੇ ਹਾਂ। ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦਾ ਮਾਲੀਆ ਵੀ ਅਸੀਂ ਹੀ ਭਰਦੇ ਹਾਂ। ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੇ ਸਾਡੇ ਕੋਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਕਾਗਜ਼ ਪੱਤਰ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੇ ਅਸੀਂ ਹੀ ਮਾਲਕ

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

P.K. March
15/3

Rec'd
16/3/94
Letter sent 23/3/94

2S

(General Serial No. 3[illegible])

OFFICE OF THE
DEWAN OF MYSORE
16 MAR 94
Pet 627
1978

From The Deputy Commr.
Tumkur District

(10)

To Govt.

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~
of Mysore.

Dated 13th March 1894

Despatched 14th —Do—

Received

Enclosures No

General No. 731

No.

Government order
No: 6135 dated 26th
Pet 539
September 1893.

I request the favor
of being furnished
with Copies of certain
letters of this office
for reference in
connection with
the petition of B. Venkata
-Row Madagidar of the
Gundagal village in
the Huliyurdurga Taluq as they
are not forth coming in
this office.

Both the letters required may be sent in original with a request that they may be returned with the report called for.

23/3

L.R. 74-907

No: 731 29

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated, Tumkur, 13th March 1894.

(10)

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government order No: 6135 / Pet: 539 dated the 26th September 1893, calling for certain information in Connection with the petition of B. Venkataraj Kodagedar of the Gundagal village in the Maddagere Taluk, and your reminder docket No: 13748 / Pet 1337 dated the 13th February 1894, I have the honor to request the favor of being furnished with Copies of the marginally noted letters for

Letter No 408 dated the 18th November 1882 to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore and its Enclosures.
Docket No 6172 / 126 dated the 9th January 1883 from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

for reference, as they are not forthcoming in this office. 280

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Sunkiu District

(11)

31

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Jumkhan District

No 1621 & 26/3/94
Pet: 1620 28.

L. R. 74-90-8

His Letter No 7318 & 13th March 94

As requested in the above, forwards herewith for reference, ~~both~~ the two letters noted in the margin, the original, and requests that the same may be returned ~~when no longer required~~ with the report called for.

Dy Comr's letter no 4088 & 18-11-82 with its Enclosure

Bagpat no 6172/126 & 9-1-83.

By order
26/3/94
Nandan Sachdeva

Draft
Mk

32

(General Serial No. 31.)

189 .

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

(General Serial No. 30.)

33

1894.

16120
8 JUN 94
Pet 778

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

R 3735/2

(12)

To

Govt.

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~
of Mysore.

Dated 6th June 1894

Despatched 7th —Do—

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 997
No.

His docket No 16218
Pet. 1620
dated 26th March 1894.

I submits a revised list of the Kodagi Inams in connection with the petition of B. Venkatalow Kodagidar of Gundagal Village in the Kadagi taluk with enclosure received therewith.

To

The Supdt Inam settlement

Date 9/6/94

Filed to the Councillors with reference to the order dated 26 Sep 93.

28.9.96.

L.R. 74-90-9

Send Copy of this letter & the Inam Supt. and ask him whether he restricted the revision of Kadagi Inams under the orders of Sept 88 & the Kolar Dt as appears & the Dy Commrs impression. also ask for a Copy of the notification issued by him under the orders of 20 Sep 88 Calling upon all Kadagidars desiring a revision to file their claims before 1 August 88 and Enquire how that notification was published —

with reference to para 6 of C Secy's letter & him ask whether any claimants who appeared before him wished to have the Inams restored to them?

18.6.94.

No 997 Encls: 4

Tumkur District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 6th June 1894.

(12)

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to the Correspondence ending with your Docket no 1621/1620 of the 26th March last, in Connection with the petition of B Venkata Rao Kodagedar of the Gundagal village in the Maddagiri Talúk, I have the honor to submit herewith as required in your Docket no: 6172/126 of the 9th January 1883, a revised list of the Kodagi Inams the holders of which prefer to retain their Inams and repair the tanks attached thereto.

2. With regard to para 2 of

Government order No. 6135/539 dated the 26th September 1893, I beg to state that as the revision of the settlement of the quitrent made in the Kolar District in 1888-89 was not extended to this District, the petitioner may not have chosen to apply then for the revision of the settlement of his Kodagi Inam.

3. I beg to invite early attention to the case of the said Venkatarao as the Jodi put on his Kodagi Inam has been in arrears since 3 years, the Government having stopped its collection till the disposal of his application for permission to retain the land as Kodagi Inam and repair the tank as before. His prayer may be granted. Nothing has up to now been spent on his tank by

Government. 36

4. The enclosures of the docket under reply are herewith returned

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

M. Carbutt

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkúr District

37

(13)

L. R. 74-90-10

To

The Superintendent
of the Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward for your information copy of letter No 997 dated 6th Instant from the Dy Commr Tumkur District together with the report of the Genl Asstt Commr Tumkur Dt dated 6 June 1893 on the application of one Venkat Rao of Gundagal for the restoration of the Gundagal tank to their on Kodagi tenure, and to request that you will be so good as to state whether you restricted the revision of Kodagi Inams

No 23209 / R. T. 284-92 dated 21.6.94 / 29

* In original, the obliging returned.

under the orders of Septr
1888 to the Kolar District
as appear to be the Deputy
Commrs impression, and
to furnish this office with
a copy of the notification
issued by you under
the orders of 20th February
1888 calling upon all
Kodagidars desiring a
reversion to file their
claims before the 1st August
1888, with a note showing
how the notification was
published.

I am desired
to ask you further, with reference
to para 6 of my letter to
you (Camp) No 1261 dated 25th
Septr 1888, whether any
claimants who appeared
before you wished to have

the.

the Imam restored to them

I have the

Chief Secretary

letter No 8 dt 28th July/92.

In order to get Complete information on the subject a draft may issue.

Sd/-

25/6/94

Issue the draft at once please

25/6/94

Draft submitted for approval -

(To be issued if the uniforms is not available in this office)

25/6

Pl see for

Is the uniform available in your Branch

25/6/94

Sd/- All the information herein required is not available as the revision system is in force in the Bangalore District also - Vide Refund Bill Sanctioned in your Pro. No 21345-6 dt 22nd June/93. RT. 84-92

As regards para 2 the draft sent has 3 cases. Vide his

PTO

41 1894. 199.

7 JUL 94
R 49

From

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

(14)

L.R. 74-90-11 To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 6th July

Despatched 7th July

Received.

Enclosure No. 2

No. 4.

See order on Separate Sheet

Forwards copy of his notification No 4 regarding the restriction of the Kodagu Inam revision to the Kolar District &c.

Rec'd 7.7.94

Recommends orders

7/7/94

42

(14)

No 4
2

notification and D. and C. letter

Inam Suptts Office.
Bangalore, dy 6th July 1894

To,
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 23209
R.F. 284-92
of the 20th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward copy of my Notification No 4 dated 9th March 1888, published at page 161, part II of the Mysore Gazette No 11 of the 14th Idem, from which you will observe that I made no restriction of the Kodigi Inam revision to the Kolar District, as observed by the Deputy Commissioner of Toomkur District.

2. With regard to para 2 of the above letter, I have already forwarded with my letter No 8 of the 28th July 1893, a list shewing the particulars

of

of Rodrigo Tanks the holders of which have made applications to retain their Tanks on the old tenure.

3. The General Assistant Commissioners original letter, received with the above, is herewith returned. —

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed^t Servant
D Pinto
Supt of Drain Settlts.

14/a

Inam Department

Notifications

The 9th March 1888.

No. 4.

It is hereby notified for general information that a revision of the enfranchisement of Kodagi Inams will be made in the case of all Kodigidars who consider they have reason to be dissatisfied with the existing Settlement of their Inams, provided they present their petitions, setting forth the grounds of their Complaint, before the 1st of August 1888.

(Signed) J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Setts

" Copy
J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Setts.

45

(15)

L. R. 74-90-12

Brief

Note - After issue, please send this to the Petitions Branch for issue of endorsement to the Petitioner.

JF
11/9

Read

Letters Nos 1008 and 997 dated respectively the 8th June 1893 and 6th June 1894. from the Deputy Commr Tumkur District reporting on the petition of B. Venkata Row Kodigidar of Gundagal in the Maddagiri Taluk requesting the restoration of the Gundagal Tank on Kodigi Lemme

~~and~~

also:—

Letter No 4 dated 6th June 1894. from the Superintendent of Inam Settlement in Mysore. ~~regarding~~ ~~the restoration of the Kodigi tank of Gundagal in the Maddagiri Taluk. to Kodigidar Venkata Rao~~

Order thereon

The discussion regarding

No 4964-6 D 12-9-94
R 721/2 17

the justice of the Kodiyar settlement under the Rules of 1877. which commenced in 1881. was finally closed by the instructions issued to the Dewan ~~Col~~ Supdt in letter No 7261 dated 25th September 1888 - Vide Printed Proceedings No 4704 - 11/138 dated 29 September 1888).

13/6/95

2. Notice of the revised settlement contemplated was published in the Official Gazette under date 9th March 1888. but the Kodiyidar in question did not apply for a revision in accordance with that Notification.

3 Under such circumstances the Kodagi dar is not entitled to any revision of the settlement first made. It is noted that under that settlement the Kodagi Inam granted for construction and up keep has been enfranchised at 1/4 "Quit rent.

11/19

Chief Secy

The Dy Comm^r Tumkur
The Supt. Inam Settlements
The Supt. Mysore Bedlam

49

L. R. 74-90-13.

(9)

By Order

Under Secy

4.14.97/93

P. F. 66 of 97.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1890-91

191 .

(L. R.)

Later references.

File No. 75 of 90

Serial Nos. 1—36
1—8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. ~~1—36~~ 1—8.

Major ~~Part~~ B for ~~January 1902.~~ August. 1891.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Subbaraw ath Shanbhog of Hemagara Village, Anekal Taluk.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Commissioner
for RD.